



## Tutorial for Program Verification

### Exercise Sheet 3 – Part 1/2

#### Exercise 1: Formalization in first-order logic 2 Points

Express the following declarative sentences in first-order logic; in each case state what your respective constant, function, and predicate symbols stand for:

- (a) Whatever goes upon four legs, or has wings, is a friend.
- (b) No animal shall kill any other animal.
- (c) All animals are equal, but some animals are more equal than others.
- (d) The array  $a$ , whose indices and values are integers, is sorted between position 0 and position  $l$ .

#### Exercise 2: Quantifiers 2 Points

- (a) Show that the following first-order logic formula is not valid.

$$((\forall x. P(x)) \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow (\forall x. P(x) \rightarrow Q)$$

- (b) Is the other direction of the implication (s. below) valid?

$$(\forall x. P(x) \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow ((\forall x. P(x)) \rightarrow Q)$$

A short argument is sufficient.

#### Exercise 3: Minimal unsatisfiable core 2 Points

**Definition (Minimal unsatisfiable core)** Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite set of formulas such that the conjunction  $\bigwedge_{\phi \in \Gamma} \phi$  is unsatisfiable. A subset  $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$  is called *unsatisfiable core* of  $\Gamma$  if  $\bigwedge_{\phi \in \Gamma'} \phi$  is also unsatisfiable. An unsatisfiable core  $\Gamma'$  is called *minimal unsatisfiable core* if for each proper subset  $\Gamma'' \subsetneq \Gamma'$  the conjunction  $\bigwedge_{\phi \in \Gamma''} \phi$  is satisfiable.

- (a) Give a minimal unsatisfiable core for the following set of formulas.

$$\{ \neg(X \rightarrow \neg Z), \quad Y \rightarrow \neg U, \quad X \rightarrow Y, \quad X, \quad Z \rightarrow U \}$$

- (b) Is the minimal unsatisfiable core of a set of formulas unique? (Are there sets of formulas  $\Gamma, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  such that  $\Gamma_1 \neq \Gamma_2$  but both  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are minimal unsatisfiable cores of  $\Gamma$ ?)