

Hoare Calculus

Andreas Podelski

November 8, 2011

Loop Invariant, Invariant, Inductive Invariant

given while command $C \equiv \mathbf{while} \ b \ \mathbf{do} \ C_0$

▶ θ is *loop invariant* if:

$$\{\theta \wedge b\} C_0 \{\theta\}$$

Loop Invariant, Invariant, Inductive Invariant

given while command $C \equiv \mathbf{while\ } b \mathbf{\ do\ } C_0$

- ▶ θ is *loop invariant* if:

$$\{\theta \wedge b\} C_0 \{\theta\}$$

- ▶ given precondition ϕ , θ is *invariant* if:

$\{\phi\}$ **skip** $\{\theta\}$

$\{\phi\}$ **if** b **then** C_0 **else skip** $\{\theta\}$

$\{\phi\}$ **if** b **then** $\{C_0 ; \mathbf{if\ } b \mathbf{\ then\ } C_0 \mathbf{\ else\ skip}\}$ **else skip** $\{\theta\}$

...

Loop Invariant, Invariant, Inductive Invariant

given while command $C \equiv \mathbf{while\ } b \mathbf{\ do\ } C_0$

- ▶ θ is *loop invariant* if:

$$\{\theta \wedge b\} C_0 \{\theta\}$$

- ▶ given precondition ϕ , θ is *invariant* if:

$$\{\phi\} \mathbf{skip} \{\theta\}$$

$$\{\phi\} \mathbf{if\ } b \mathbf{\ then\ } C_0 \mathbf{\ else\ skip} \{\theta\}$$

$$\{\phi\} \mathbf{if\ } b \mathbf{\ then\ } \{C_0 ; \mathbf{if\ } b \mathbf{\ then\ } C_0 \mathbf{\ else\ skip}\} \mathbf{else\ skip} \{\theta\}$$

...

- ▶ given precondition ϕ , θ is *inductive invariant* if:

$$\{\phi\} \mathbf{skip} \{\theta\}$$

$$\{\theta \wedge b\} C_0 \{\theta\}$$

Annotated Programs

- ▶ expression (where f maps into **Val**)

$$e ::= x \mid f(e_1, \dots, e_n)$$

Annotated Programs

- ▶ expression (where f maps into **Val**)

$$e ::= x \mid f(e_1, \dots, e_n)$$

- ▶ Boolean expression (where f maps into $\{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{F}\}$)

$$b ::= x \mid f(e_1, \dots, e_n)$$

Annotated Programs

- ▶ expression (where f maps into **Val**)

$$e ::= x \mid f(e_1, \dots, e_n)$$

- ▶ Boolean expression (where f maps into $\{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{F}\}$)

$$b ::= x \mid f(e_1, \dots, e_n)$$

- ▶ assertion

$$\phi, \psi, \theta ::= b \mid \top \mid \perp \mid \neg\phi \mid \phi \vee \psi \mid \exists x.\phi$$

Annotated Programs

- ▶ expression (where f maps into **Val**)

$$e ::= x \mid f(e_1, \dots, e_n)$$

- ▶ Boolean expression (where f maps into $\{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{F}\}$)

$$b ::= x \mid f(e_1, \dots, e_n)$$

- ▶ assertion

$$\phi, \psi, \theta ::= b \mid \top \mid \perp \mid \neg\phi \mid \phi \vee \psi \mid \exists x.\phi$$

- ▶ command

$$C ::= \mathbf{skip} \mid x := e \mid C_1; C_2 \mid \mathbf{if} \ b \ \mathbf{then} \ C_1 \ \mathbf{else} \ C_2 \mid \mathbf{while} \ b \ \mathbf{do} \ \{\theta\} \ C$$

Example: Factorial function



```
{n ≥ 0}  
f := 1;  
i := 1;  
while i ≤ n do {f = fact(i - 1) ∧ i ≤ n + 1} {  
    f := f × i  
    i := i + 1  
}  
{f = fact(n)}
```

Example: Factorial function



```
{n ≥ 0}
f := 1;
i := 1;
while i ≤ n do {f = fact(i - 1) ∧ i ≤ n + 1} {
    f := f × i
    i := i + 1
}
{f = fact(n)}
```

- ▶ function symbol *fact* used in assertions ϕ, ψ, θ
not used in commands C

Example: Factorial function



```
{n ≥ 0}
f := 1;
i := 1;
while i ≤ n do {f = fact(i - 1) ∧ i ≤ n + 1} {
    f := f × i
    i := i + 1
}
{f = fact(n)}
```

- ▶ function symbol *fact* used in assertions ϕ, ψ, θ
not used in commands C
- ▶ interpretation of function symbol *fact* in logical model for integers (bounded or unbounded)

Example: Factorial function



```
{n ≥ 0}
f := 1;
i := 1;
while i ≤ n do {f = fact(i - 1) ∧ i ≤ n + 1} {
    f := f × i
    i := i + 1
}
{f = fact(n)}
```

- ▶ function symbol *fact* used in assertions ϕ, ψ, θ
not used in commands C
- ▶ interpretation of function symbol *fact* in logical model for integers (bounded or unbounded)
- ▶ axioms added in set of assertions Γ

$$\text{fact}(0) = 1$$

$$\forall n. n > 0 \rightarrow \text{fact}(n) = n \times \text{fact}(n - 1)$$

Loop Unfolding

- ▶ equivalence (proved using the semantics of programs)

while b do C_0 \equiv if b then { C_0 ; while b do C_0 } else skip

Loop Unfolding

- ▶ equivalence (proved using the semantics of programs)

while b **do** C_0 \equiv **if** b **then** $\{C_0 ; \text{while } b \text{ do } C_0\}$ **else skip**

- ▶ number of unfoldings may be huge

Loop Unfolding

- ▶ equivalence (proved using the semantics of programs)

while b **do** C_0 \equiv **if** b **then** $\{C_0 ; \text{while } b \text{ do } C_0\}$ **else skip**

- ▶ number of unfoldings may be huge
- ▶ number of unfoldings *statically* not known

System \mathcal{H} (1)

- ▶ Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$ derivable in \mathcal{H} if
exists a derivation using the axioms and inference rules of \mathcal{H}

System \mathcal{H} (1)

- ▶ Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$ derivable in \mathcal{H} if
exists a derivation using the axioms and inference rules of \mathcal{H}
- ▶ skip

$$\frac{}{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\phi\}}$$

System \mathcal{H} (1)

- ▶ Hoare triple $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$ derivable in \mathcal{H} if
exists a derivation using the axioms and inference rules of \mathcal{H}
- ▶ skip

$$\frac{}{\{\phi\} \text{ skip } \{\phi\}}$$

- ▶ assignment

$$\frac{}{\{\psi[e/x]\} x := e \{\psi\}}$$

System \mathcal{H} (2)

- ▶ sequential command $C \equiv C_1 ; C_2$

$$\frac{\{\phi\} C_1 \{\phi'\} \quad \{\phi'\} C \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}}$$

System \mathcal{H} (2)

- ▶ sequential command $C \equiv C_1 ; C_2$

$$\frac{\{\phi\} C_1 \{\phi'\} \quad \{\phi'\} C \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}}$$

- ▶ conditional command $C \equiv \mathbf{if } b \mathbf{ then } C_1 \mathbf{ else } C_2$

$$\frac{\{\phi \wedge b\} C_1 \{\psi\} \quad \{\phi \wedge \neg b\} C \{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}}$$

System \mathcal{H} (3)

- ▶ while command $C \equiv \mathbf{while} \ b \ \mathbf{do} \ \{\theta\} \ C$

$$\frac{\{\theta \wedge b\} C_0 \{\theta\}}{\{\theta\} C \{\theta \wedge \neg b\}}$$

System \mathcal{H} (3)

- ▶ while command $C \equiv \mathbf{while\ } b \mathbf{ do\ } \{\theta\} C$

$$\frac{\{\theta \wedge b\} C_0 \{\theta\}}{\{\theta\} C \{\theta \wedge \neg b\}}$$

- ▶ strengthen precondition, weaken postcondition

$$\frac{\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}}{\{\phi'\} C \{\psi'\}} \text{ if } \phi' \rightarrow \phi \text{ and } \psi \rightarrow \psi'$$

System \mathcal{H} (3)

- ▶ while command $C \equiv \mathbf{while} \ b \ \mathbf{do} \ \{\theta\} \ C$

$$\frac{\{\theta \wedge b\} C_0 \{\theta\}}{\{\theta\} C \{\theta \wedge \neg b\}}$$

- ▶ strengthen precondition, weaken postcondition

$$\frac{\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}}{\{\phi'\} C \{\psi'\}} \text{ if } \phi' \rightarrow \phi \text{ and } \psi \rightarrow \psi'$$

System \mathcal{H} (3)

- ▶ while command $C \equiv \mathbf{while} \ b \ \mathbf{do} \ \{\theta\} \ C$

$$\frac{\{\theta \wedge b\} C_0 \{\theta\}}{\{\theta\} C \{\theta \wedge \neg b\}}$$

- ▶ strengthen precondition, weaken postcondition

$$\frac{\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}}{\{\phi'\} C \{\psi'\}} \text{ if } \phi' \rightarrow \phi \text{ and } \psi \rightarrow \psi'$$

- ▶ Hoare triple derivable in all logicals models in which implications in side condition are valid

Soundness of \mathcal{H}

- ▶ if $\{\phi\} \mathcal{C} \{\psi\}$ derivable in given logical model
then $\{\phi\} \mathcal{C} \{\psi\}$ valid in the model

Soundness of \mathcal{H}

- ▶ if $\{\phi\} \mathcal{C} \{\psi\}$ derivable in given logical model
then $\{\phi\} \mathcal{C} \{\psi\}$ valid in the model
- ▶ if $\{\phi\} \mathcal{C} \{\psi\}$ derivable from given set of assertions Γ
then $\{\phi\} \mathcal{C} \{\psi\}$ valid in all models in which Γ is valid

Soundness of \mathcal{H}

- ▶ if $\{\phi\} \mathcal{C} \{\psi\}$ derivable in given logical model
then $\{\phi\} \mathcal{C} \{\psi\}$ valid in the model
- ▶ if $\{\phi\} \mathcal{C} \{\psi\}$ derivable from given set of assertions Γ
then $\{\phi\} \mathcal{C} \{\psi\}$ valid in all models in which Γ is valid
- ▶ inverse does not hold in general

Soundness of \mathcal{H}

- ▶ if $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$ derivable in given logical model
then $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$ valid in the model
- ▶ if $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$ derivable from given set of assertions Γ
then $\{\phi\} C \{\psi\}$ valid in all models in which Γ is valid
- ▶ inverse does not hold in general
- ▶ derivability depends on annotation with loop invariants,
validity does not

Example: Factorial function

```
{n ≥ 0}
f := 1;
i := 1;
while i ≤ n do {f = fact(i - 1) ∧ i ≤ n + 1} {
    f := f × i
    i := i + 1
}
{f = fact(n)}
```

Adaptation

- ▶ $\{n = 10\}$ **Fact** $\{f = \mathit{fact}(n)\}$ valid

Adaptation

- ▶ $\{n = 10\}$ **Fact** $\{f = \mathit{fact}(n)\}$ valid
- ▶ derivable from $\{n \geq 0\}$ **Fact** $\{f = \mathit{fact}(n)\}$

Adaptation

- ▶ $\{n = 10\}$ **Fact** $\{f = \mathit{fact}(n)\}$ valid
- ▶ derivable from $\{n \geq 0\}$ **Fact** $\{f = \mathit{fact}(n)\}$
- ▶ not derivable from $\{n \geq 0 \wedge n = n_0\}$ **Fact** $\{f = \mathit{fact}(n) \wedge n = n_0\}$

Adaptation

- ▶ $\{n = 10\}$ **Fact** $\{f = \mathit{fact}(n)\}$ valid
- ▶ derivable from $\{n \geq 0\}$ **Fact** $\{f = \mathit{fact}(n)\}$
- ▶ not derivable from
 $\{n \geq 0 \wedge n = n_0\}$ **Fact** $\{f = \mathit{fact}(n) \wedge n = n_0\}$
none of the implications in side conditions is valid

Adaptation

- ▶ $\{n = 10\}$ **Fact** $\{f = \mathit{fact}(n)\}$ valid
- ▶ derivable from $\{n \geq 0\}$ **Fact** $\{f = \mathit{fact}(n)\}$
- ▶ not derivable from
 $\{n \geq 0 \wedge n = n_0\}$ **Fact** $\{f = \mathit{fact}(n) \wedge n = n_0\}$
none of the implications in side conditions is valid
- ▶ more complicated inference rule for 'instantiating a Hoare triple' with auxiliary variables

Adaptation

- ▶ $\{n = 10\}$ **Fact** $\{f = \text{fact}(n)\}$ valid
- ▶ derivable from $\{n \geq 0\}$ **Fact** $\{f = \text{fact}(n)\}$
- ▶ not derivable from
 $\{n \geq 0 \wedge n = n_0\}$ **Fact** $\{f = \text{fact}(n) \wedge n = n_0\}$
none of the implications in side conditions is valid
- ▶ more complicated inference rule for 'instantiating a Hoare triple' with auxiliary variables
- ▶ in practice, we will need adaptation only for *procedure contracts*
which we will introduce later